IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR

WRIT PETITION NO : 12073 of 1994

Between:

T. Ramanaiah S/o. Ramaiah
Kalavelamma Street, Venkatagiri Town, Nellore District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Pinakini Grameena Bank, A.K. Nagar, rep. by its Chairman, Nellore,

Nellore District.
2 The Board of Directors, Pinakini Grameena Bank, Head Office, A.K.

Nagar, Nellore.
3 The Pinakini Grameena Bank, Mallam Branch, Mallam Post,

Chittamuru Mandal, Nellore District, rep. by its Branch
Manager.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Certiorari or any other appropriate Writ, Order or Direction calling for the records relating to the order of the Disciplinary authority the 1st respondent herein in Ref.No.62/456/IF/PD/93 dated 6-9-93 and the Consequential orders of the Appellate authority the 2nd respondent herein in Ref.No. 116/456/IF/PD/93 dated 7th day of December 1993 and quash the same as arbitrary, illegal and void; and to pass such order or other orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.M.P.CHANDRAMOULI

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.K.SRINIVASAMURTHY

The Court made the following ORDER:

The writ petition is filed with a prayer as follows:

> "To issue a writ of certiorari or any other appropriate writ, order or direction calling for the records relating to the order of the disciplinary authority, the 1st respondent herein, in Ref.No.62/456/IF/PD/93, dated 06.02.1993, and the consequential orders of the appellate authority, the 2nd respondent herein, in Ref.No.116/456/IF/PD/93, dated 07.12.1993 and quash the same as arbitrary, illegal and void."

When the matter is taken up, the learned counsel for the petitioner proceeded to argue the matter with reference to the documents which are not filed into the Court.

On the other hand, when questioned about the reliance on such documents, the learned counsel submitted that they are admitted documents. Obviously, the learned counsel for the petitioner proceeds on the basis that this Court need not look into the documents for deciding the case.

I do not see any valid reason to proceed further with the writ petition and the same is accordingly dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

17.03.2005

ksld

To

1) The Chairman, Pinakini Grameena Bank, A.K. Nagar, Nellore,Nellore District.

2) The Board of Directors, Pinakini Grameena Bank, Head Office, A.K.Nagar, Nellore.

3) The Branch Manager, Pinakini Grameena Bank, Mallam Branch, Mallam Post, Chittamur (M), Nellore district.

4) 2 CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**ND**}*